## SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF VENTURA VENTURA DIVISION

## **TENTATIVE RULINGS**

JUDICIAL OFFICER: Matthew P. Guasco

CASE NUM: 56-2018-00512761-CU-PL-VTA CASE TITLE: VENEROSO VS. C R BARD INC

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Product Liability

EVENT TYPE: Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice (CLM) - as to Matthew B Lerner to Appear as Counsel Pro Hac Vice

CAUSAL DOCUMENT/DATE FILED: Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice, 09/18/2018

Notice Regarding Courtroom 20 Law & Motion Procedures: The law and motion calendar in Courtroom 20 before Judge Matthew P. Guasco starts promptly at 8:30 a.m. Ex parte applications will be heard at the same time as matters on the law and motion calendar. Parties appearing by Court Call must check in with the Judicial Assistant by 8:20 a.m. No notice of intent to appear is required. Parties wishing to submit on the tentative decision must so notify the Court by e-mail at Courtroom20@ventura.courts.ca.gov or by fax to Judge Guasco's secretary, Art Alvara at (805) 477-5892. **Do** not call in lieu of sending an e-mail or fax. If a party submits on the tentative decision without appearing, but another party appears, the hearing will be conducted in the absence of the non-appearing party. Effective February 13, 2018, this case is assigned for all purposes to Judge Guasco.

The following is the Court's tentative decision concerning the applications of the following out-of-state attorneys to be permitted to appear as counsel *pro hac vice* for defendants C.R. Bard, Inc., and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. ("Bard"), all of which are unopposed:

The Court GRANTS the applications of Matthew B. Lerner, Esq.; Matthew E. Brown, Esq.; Mark R. Nash, Esq.; and Richard B. North, Esq. to appear as counsel *pro hac vice*. Each application substantially complies[1] with California Rules of Court, rule 9.40, including proof of payment of the required fees and service on the California State Bar.

The Court intends to sign the proposed orders submitted with each application. Counsel for Bard will serve and file a notice of ruling as well as the orders signed by the Court. /n

[1] To differing extents, each of the applications omits dates of admission to practice in various jurisdictions, but the Court views this as an insubstantial defect in each application. (See rule 9.40, subd. (d)(2).)